# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

# **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

SALVADOR P. BAYLAN, MD

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-17-3102-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

JUNE 20, 2017

**Respondent Name** 

FIRST LIBERTY INSURANCE CORP

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

Box Number 01

#### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

<u>Requestor's Position Summary</u>: "Please review procedure code 99203 for full reimbursement. CPT modifier 25 added to the E/M code on the claim as service was beyond the usual preoperative work of the EDX testing performed on the same day."

Amount in Dispute: \$350.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "This procedure was denied per Medicare guidelines and correct coding rules, as documentation does not support this level of service. The third key component, medical decision making, is not supported in the documented report. EMG/NCS diagnostic interpretation is not considered Medical Decision Making. Interpretation of the EMG/NCS is part of the professional component of those condes and should not be counted as a required key component of the E&M."

Response Submitted By: Liberty Mutual Insurance

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 6, 2016	CPT Code 99203-25 New Patient Office Visit	\$350.00	\$0.00

#### **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

# **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced / denied by the respondent with the following reason code:
  - X263-The code billed does not meet the level/description of the procedure performed/documented. Consideration will be given with coding that reflects the documented procedure.
  - 150-Payment adjusted because the payer deems the information submitted does not support this level of service.
  - W3-Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.
  - 193-Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly.

#### Issues

Was CPT code 99203 billed in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5)?

#### **Findings**

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203(a)(5), "Medicare payment policies" when used in this section, shall mean reimbursement methodologies, models, and values or weights including its coding, billing, and reporting payment policies as set forth in the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) payment policies specific to Medicare."

CPT code 99203 is defined as "Office or other outpatient visit for the evaluation and management of a new patient, which requires these 3 key components: A detailed history; A detailed examination; Medical decision making of low complexity. Counseling and/or coordination of care with other physicians, other qualified health care professionals, or agencies are provided consistent with the nature of the problem(s) and the patient's and/or family's needs. Usually, the presenting problem(s) are of moderate severity. Typically, 30 minutes are spent face-to-face with the patient and/or family."

The requestor appended modifiers 25 that is defined as "Significant, Separately Identifiable Evaluation and Management Service by the Same Physician or Other Qualified Health Care Professional on the Same Day of the Procedure or Other Service."

A review of the submitted report finds that the requestor's documentation does not support the medical decision making component.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed for CPT code 99203, 95908, and 95886.

The Division referred to Medicare's coding and billing policies and finds that CPT code 95886 has a global surgery period of "ZZZ" and code 95908 "XXX.

The <u>National Correct Coding Initiative Policy Manual</u>, effective January 1, 2016, Chapter I, <u>General Correct Coding Policies</u>, section D, states:

All procedures on the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule are assigned a Global period of 000, 010, 090, XXX, YYY, ZZZ, or MMM. The global concept does not apply to XXX procedures. The global period for YYY procedures is defined by the Carrier (A/B MAC processing practitioner service claims). All procedures with a global period of ZZZ are related to another procedure, and the applicable global period for the ZZZ code is determined by the related procedure... Procedures with a global surgery indicator of "XXX" are not covered by these rules. Many of these "XXX"

procedures are performed by physicians and have inherent pre-procedure, intra-procedure, and post-procedure work usually performed each time the procedure is completed. This work should never be reported as a separate E&M code. Other "XXX" procedures are not usually performed by a physician and have no physician work relative value units associated with them. A physician should never report a separate E&M code with these procedures for the supervision of others performing the procedure or for the interpretation of the procedure. With most "XXX" procedures, the physician may, however, perform a significant and separately identifiable E&M service on the same date of service which may be reported by appending modifier 25 to the E&M code. This E&M service may be related to the same diagnosis necessitating performance of the "XXX" procedure but cannot include any work inherent in the "XXX" procedure, supervision of others performing the "XXX" procedure, or time for interpreting the result of the "XXX" procedure. Appending modifier 25 to a significant, separately identifiable E&M service when performed on the same date of service as an "XXX" procedure is correct coding.

The Division finds that the requestor did not identify a significant and separate E&M service to support billing CPT code 99203 in conjunction with CPT codes 95886 and 95908. The Division further finds that the requestor's documentation did not support the 3 required key components for billing CPT code 99203. As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

### **Authorized Signature**

		07/06/2017	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.